

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_113337
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role Of Organizational Commitment In Mediating Employee Work Behavior As Organizational Culture In The ATVI Environment
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The manuscript is important enough to give more perspectives of Organizational Commitment, Employee Work Behavior and Organizational Culture</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive enough. Keywords should refer to the title</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are not sufficient and recent, I think the author needs to add some recent journals at least five to ten journals to strengthen the literature review.</p>	<p>Has been revised</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1. The introduction of the paper is well designed in line with the title of paper</p> <p>2. Methodological aspects of the study clearly constructed clearly</p> <p>3. Data analysis is presented clearly systematically</p> <p>4. The results and discussion are not comprehensive yet (Please discuss your findings with preliminary research or related research)</p> <p>5. The author does not explain the impact of the result research in conclusion part (Please add) and The author does suggest the further or next research yet (please add)</p>	<p>Has been revised</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.